

**Amanda Molloy**

---

**From:** Anne-Marie Forrest [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 03, 2019 10:43 AM  
**To:** Amanda Molloy

Please do not allow any changes the FVRD Aggregate Bylaw: File #4530-01. I would like all FVRD directors to say no.

Thank you,

Anne-Marie Forrest

**Amanda Molloy**

---

**From:** Virginia Vail [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 03, 2019 12:59 PM  
**To:** Amanda Molloy; Hugh Davidson  
**Subject:** FVRD Aggregate Bylaw

We say NO to any changes in the bylaw.

Land SHOULD NOT be used to process aggregates.

We have had enough of the noise, gravel trucks and blasting and don't need anything adding to this.

Do NOT allow File #4530-01

Larry and Virginia Vail  
13050 Spratt Rd  
Mission

**Amanda Molloy**

---

**From:** Heather Morlacci <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Saturday, December 07, 2019 10:15 PM  
**To:** Amanda Molloy  
**Cc:** Hugh Davidson; Bruce Edwards; Sharie Conroy; Pauline J Peters; Justin And Elizabeth Price; Helen Singer; lynne; virginia vail; Virginia Conroy; Birgit Gagne; Beata Vic Kunze; Frances Storozyński; Elizabeth Pellizzari; Anna; Donna Kirton; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Gravel/ quarry non compliance issues for FVRD Re EASC meeting Dec 10, 2019

Dec 7, 2019

Hello EASC Directors of FVRD,

**1. This is a request for all the EASC Directors to fully approve Motion 9.1 by Hugh Davidson, Electoral Area F, FVRD Director.**

I am appalled that the Sand and Gravel Assoc. enforced FVRD Planners to "overstep" due process of ethical planning/ professional correct action /consultation measures with EASC Directors recently.

I do not want any of our FVRD property tax dollars going to pay for FREE FVRD documentation to be done for quarry/gravel pits by them getting FVRD Planners to comply to do this recent paper file work of #4530-01

**2. Re Agenda Item #13.3: I RECOMMEND all FVRD Directors must vote "NO", Re Motion of Consideration - File #4530-01 - on "The Use of Land for Processing Aggregates in FVRD'S Electoral Area Zoning Bylaws...."**

**EASC DIRECTORS - never allow more "crushing" or "grizzlies" or " other aggregate processing" zoning amendments nor such temporary activities to be permitted anywhere in our Electoral FVRD communities.**

That type of **NOISE LEVEL** along with **BLASTING** hazards is an absolute nightmare that still emanates from 13361 Stave Lake Road Quarry [SLQ]. I have seen and felt the blast airwaves and ground waves to make our home flooring roll like an ocean wave. This has caused devastating effects to me and my family. Blasting has also caused creek damage, true full loss of north 6 foot deep marshlands of the Kirton's property to be gone forever, and damage to local aquifer wells at various times.

**Treacherous Rock Dust** continues year-round for causing adverse health issues from SLQ. Mines Ministry does nothing to fully curtail this ongoing hazard to our community. Noise and Dust Impacts from CRUSHERS "grandfathered" and various quarry equipment/ truckers all with high pitch levels continue to this day - PERMIT Allows on-site work 6 days per week.

All these problems with multi non-compliance issues have carried on for over 20 years with the Stave Lake Quarry in the Hatzic Valley, Area F, FVRD.

For EASC Directors reference of "multi non-compliance gravel pits and quarry issues" in the FVRD, take time to read or obtain the CD Recording of the "AGGREGATE PILOT Project public input FVRD meeting" held at the Evergreen Hall, Chilliwack, B.C. on June 26, 2012. Many of these Sand and Gravel issues voiced by citizens are still ongoing.

I respectfully request all Directors to comply with my follow up requests. Thank you.

Please confirm your receiving of this email. Thanks.

Sincerely,  
Heather Morlacci,  
13372 Stave Lake Road, Mission, B.C.  
Area F, FVRD

cc Neighbours

**Amanda Molloy**

---

**From:** Sylvia Langmann [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 09, 2019 2:02 PM  
**To:** Amanda Molloy  
**Subject:** Please forward to all Directors.

Dear Directors:

Re: Aggregate processing and Zoning Bylaws.

Many residents in the Fraser Valley regional district are against changing the existing bylaws to facilitate the aggregate companies to process on site at all pits.

We would like to bring a delegation to the board in the new year because a decision of this magnitude should not be made two weeks before Christmas when so many of us are too busy at this time of year to prepare for a delegation.

We understand an attempt to balance the needs of the aggregate industry with the needs of the community and the environment is to ease tension between parties concerned is compelling, however our residents concerns need to be heard.

Processing at every pit intrudes on residential areas and presently we are already annoyed with the interference and quality of life from undesirable noise, dust and visual impacts, traffic congestion and road deterioration . Processing at all pits will heighten this level of anxiety.

Consider that strategic locations for processing already exists and not all pits are desirable in residential areas to have processing and for the FVRD Directors to ignore these facts by giving the go-ahead to change the by-laws is to presume you have the authority to do so is against your mandate which is to consider the health of the residents, wildlife and fisheries.

Presently a fixed primary crushing station exists and we the residents want the status-quo without changing the by-laws. The argument is it is more expensive for aggregate companies to process off site is an argument that does not warrant a change of policy as we the public will inevitably be paying for the cost in the end product. More important is our quality of life.

We are asking that you stop interfering with our by-laws and refuse to consider aiding the aggregate companies requests for changes. If however you wish to continue with the proposal by the aggregate industry you will withhold your decision until the new year when many residents from all areas will come with delegations for you to resist changing our by-laws.

Sincerely,  
On behalf of resident of the FVRD,  
Sylvia Langmann  
Lake Errock

**Amanda Molloy**

---

**From:** cynthia berge [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 09, 2019 3:18 PM  
**To:** Amanda Molloy; Dennis Adamson; Wendy Bales; Hugh Davidson; Bill Dickey; Taryn Dixon; Orion Engar; Terry Raymond; Al Stobbart  
**Subject:** Processing Aggregate and Zoning Bylaws

FVRD Directors and Staff,

We are strongly opposed to having current by-laws changed allowing processing of gravel at quarries within the FVRD. We are strongly in favour of having bylaws enforced when contraventions of current bylaws occur. We echo the sentiments forwarded by Hugh Davidson, Bruce Edwards and Sylvia Langman and trust you will give their correspondence due attention. We ask that you be progressive in your thinking and conscientious in your actions. Please acknowledge receipt of this email and include in the agenda for the upcoming EASC meeting. Thank you.

Peace  
Cynthia and Daniel Berge  
Residents of South Lake Errock

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

**Amanda Molloy**

---

**From:** Elizabeth Pellizzari [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 09, 2019 8:26 PM  
**To:** Amanda Molloy; Taryn Dixon; Orion Engar; Terry Raymond; Wendy Bales; Hugh Davidson; Bill Dickey; Dennis Adamson  
**Cc:** Cynthia Berge; [REDACTED] Bruce Edwards; sylvia langmann; Dehlia Simper; Heather Morlacci  
**Subject:** Opposition to Gravel processing

All FVRD Directors and Staff

I **strongly oppose** Staff's recommendations to allow gravel processing at quarries in the FVRD area. The current by-laws should not be changed but instead be enforced when contraventions to these by-laws occurs.

It is particular disturbing to me that Staff even think of putting forth such recommendations knowing very well that many communities have voiced otherwise over the years.

Our communities already deal with noise and dust pollution from surrounding quarries, noisy gravel trucks barreling down our roads and highways (many speeding), blasting to the point our homes literally shake and now Staff recommends that we also endure the constant noise of crushing. No! Absolutely not! Do we not also have the right to enjoy the peace and quiet of our homes???

I **urge** all FVRD Directors to vote against the Staff's recommendations and support Director Hugh Davidson's motion.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Pellizzari  
12978 Stave Lake Rd  
Area F

--

"Be the change you want to see in the world!"

**Amanda Molloy**

---

**From:** Elizabeth Pellizzari <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 09, 2019 8:55 PM  
**To:** Wendy Bales; Dennis Adamson; Bill Dickey; Orion Engar; Taryn Dixon; Terry Raymond; Hugh Davidson; Amanda Molloy  
**Cc:** Cynthia Berge  
**Subject:** Opposed to Gravel Processing

FVRD Directors and Staff

**I strongly oppose** Staff's recommendations to allow gravel processing at quarries in the FVRD area.

I purchased our property at Lake Errock thirty years ago for its beauty, peacefulness and tranquility of the lake. In 2004 I moved there because of health issues. I felt this would be the place I could have the quiet enjoyment of my home while recovering from my many health issues.

It is very sad to say it has all been lost due to the gravel pit operations at Lake Errock. I now must deal with noise, dust, all the gravel trucks going by, many large rocks on the highway and the horrible sight of the gravel pit. To add crushing to the gravel operations would be very difficult for me to deal with!

**Please vote against the Staff's recommendations!**

Thank you  
Marco Pellizzari  
12171 Hodgkin Rd  
Lake Errock



December 9, 2019

To the EASC Directors,

Re: Gravel and the FVRD

We are writing this letter to express our concerns with the recommendation that has been put forward by the staff of the FVRD with regard to gravel processing in the FVRD and the non enforcement of bylaws that are in place at the present time.

It appears that the staffs have had no regard and have not attempted to contact the citizens that live in the vicinity of these operations to gather their opinions of these operations prior to the motion 13.3.

**We urge the directors to not approve the motion 13.3** as it appears that it has a great basis towards the industry with little concern for the citizens.

We live in Hatzic Valley. We have gravel trucks traveling up and down our roads 6 days a week. Some at very high speeds with no regard to the speed limits. They rarely have tarps covering their loads. If these operation expand these matters will only get worse as will the condition of our roads. We understand that these are not controllable by the FVRD but they are a ramification of these operations.

**We urge that Director Davidson motion 9.1** be supported by the directors.

We understand that there needs to be a balance between the operation of industry and the people living in the area. We urge the directors to look for these balances. This is what Director Davidson is looking for in his motion we believe.

Thank you for your consideration on these important matters

Justin & Elizabeth Price  
35893 Hartley Road  
Mission, BC V2V 0A8  
604-826-4908

**Amanda Molloy**

---

**From:** Wendy [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 09, 2019 11:48 PM  
**To:** Amanda Molloy; Dennis Adamson; Wendy Bales; Hugh Davidson; Bill Dickey; Taryn Dixon; Orion Engar; Terry Raymond; Al Stobbart  
**Subject:** FW: Part 2, Gravel affects of the Ohman rd. pit  
**Attachments:** 20171123\_084631\_resized.jpg

**Part 2, Gravel affects of the Ohman rd. pit**

Hi All,

Glad to see that a few people sent in some last minute comments to the province, in reference to public comments on the Mines Act Amendments.

A few also sent in comments in reference to the motions of; when will the FVRD enforce their gravel bylaw, as well as the motion by staff on helping industry with an application to change zoning to allow all FVRD electoral gravel pits to allow processing.

To be honest I think that area F has the most affected community with all the quarry blasting. I remember one time where big chunks of rock landed in a yard where children have played and another time when a pit employee was really hurt (I don't remember the details of outcome).

If you are new to the area or my email list you might not be aware of the Aggregate Pilot Project (APP). It was worked on for many years and resulted in our latest bylaw and zones for where gravel pits are allowed to be concentrated in the FVRD's electoral areas. One of the original intents of the APP was to locate new pits away from communities to alleviate conflicts issues. In the end, after mapping out that some the best gravel resources were by communities and transportation routes, in my opinion it became more about location for resources and industry, then alleviating impacts to communities watersheds and habitats. As one previous staffer said about the Lake Errock area, we were the sacrifice zone.

Many of the several complaints I have received related to gravel in this term, have had to do with the province making what I would call downgrade amendments in the permit of the previously named the Debench pit at the top of Ohman road. We fought long and hard to get water standards and testing, a no processing clause, as well as no work on weekends and holidays.

The original Ohman rd. permit has been amended by the province to include processing against our bylaw (that hasn't been enforced on this pit). In my opinion the water pollution as shown in the above picture is a direct result of all the extra processing wash water that has not been contained or filtered. They have also taken out the water clause, that the water coming onto the pit needs to be the same quality going off, as well as the regular water testing that was previously required. We had trouble getting access to the regular testing results, so at one point I did some testing myself, (see pictures below). Although Fraser Health confirmed results of water quality was not up to previous permit or drinking water standards, they didn't want to get involved any further. The province as well as the FVRD didn't want to get involved in any enforcement either. Worse was, that in the province's amended permit they made a mistake of not putting NO processing or trucking on weekends and holidays clause, a mistake of which I have had complaints about.

So we have dysfunctional enforcement laws and bylaws on 2 levels of government and ministries. Enough for people to wonder what the point of taxes and government are for?

Many residents were happy that the Lake Errock pit did not use their Temporary Use Permit (TUP) to crush, except for the fact that it will take a few more years to complete, in order to start reclamation. Instead of using their TUP permit for crushing, the lake Errock pit has been taking many loads up to the Ohman road pit to process. Image if all those many more gravel trucks were traveling through your rural community roads on a continual basis. I have had complaints from a grandmother worried about the grandkids safety walking from the school bus or going to the store, people that no longer feel safe to take a walk with their dog as well as about the added noise, dust and drinking water concerns. Drinking water sources have been contaminated on the Ohman road side from the road alterations, as well as on the Tait Road side downstream of the pit. Some day I should do a detailed history. At least, many years ago, the water branch of MOE under Tim Bennet and my persistence on the topic, did require the original Ohman pit owner to supply 2 new water wells on the Ohman road side.

Below was a submission to the province in reference to Amending the Mines Act.

We could call it death of another creek (see the above picture). Garnet Creek was a vibrant beautiful little trout creek and drinking water source, supplied from Deroche mountain Creeks, through the wetlands of the Ohman road pit, that fed into Barnes Creek, and that used to have salmon spawning at the base. As recommended by the mines ministry, 2 of us filed a complaint to the RAP line over 2 months ago. As of yet, no one has called back or as far as we know, come out to look at the problem.

Wendy Bales  
[wbales@fvrld.ca](mailto:wbales@fvrld.ca)  
778-684-0572



- Taking water samples above and below the Debench gravel pit



=====

From Sandy and Len Forward:

To whom it may concern:

We have lived here in Deroche for 20 years and enjoyed the beautiful fresh water off the mountainside.

Garnet Creek was once a year round source of water for our home and livestock and also supported Brooke trout and other forms of Wildlife.

A number of years back the Debench gravel pit altered the water course at the pit, digging into the aquifer and drastically changing the outflow of the creek.

Ever since then we have had to deal with a milky Creek after heavy rain falls, the water being totally polluted with Rock wash. ( please see attached picture)

Other times in the summer the creek has dried up due to water being used at the pit. This has never happened prior to Debench altering the water courses.

This is our sole supply of water and many times throughout the year we are forced to bring in drinking water due to either having pollution in the creek or lack of water all together.

Water testing was imposed on the Debench's permit but we were never allowed to know the results of any tests that may have been done.

Although we have tried to communicate this issue numerous times over the years, with the FVRD, ministry of mines and the gravel pit we have had no resolution to this problem.

We are hoping something can be done to restore this beautiful Creek so it can continue to support both humans and Wildlife.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Sandy and Len Forward



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)

**Amanda Molloy**

---

**From:** Kat Wahamaa [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 10, 2019 11:16 AM  
**To:** 'Elizabeth Pellizzari'; Amanda Molloy; Taryn Dixon; Orion Engar; Terry Raymond; Wendy Bales; Hugh Davidson; Bill Dickey; Dennis Adamson  
**Cc:** 'Cynthia Berge'; 'Bruce Edwards'; 'sylvia langmann'; 'Dehlia Simper'; 'Heather Morlacci'  
**Subject:** RE: Opposition to Gravel processing

To the FVRD Directors & Staff:

I echo the same concerns as those in Elizabeth Pellizzari's email below.

How staff could make such a recommendations after the years of input from the surrounding communities serves to illustrate how our very valid concerns are dismissed.

I **strongly oppose** staff's recommendations to allow gravel processing at quarries in the FVRD area. The current by-laws should not be changed but instead be enforced when contraventions to these by-laws occurs.

I **urge** all FVRD Directors to vote against the staff's recommendations and support Director Hugh Davidson's motion.

Respectfully,  
Kat Wahamaa  
12476 Aplin Rd.  
Lake Errock, BC

**From:** Elizabeth Pellizzari [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 9, 2019 8:26 PM  
**To:** [amolloy@fvrd.ca](mailto:amolloy@fvrd.ca); [tdixon@fvrd.bc.ca](mailto:tdixon@fvrd.bc.ca); [oenagar@fvrd.bc.ca](mailto:oenagar@fvrd.bc.ca); [traymond@fvrd.ca](mailto:traymond@fvrd.ca); [wbales@fvrd.ca](mailto:wbales@fvrd.ca); [h davidson@fvrd.ca](mailto:h davidson@fvrd.ca); [bdickey@fvrd.ca](mailto:bdickey@fvrd.ca); [dadamson@fvrd.ca](mailto:dadamson@fvrd.ca)  
**Cc:** Cynthia Berge [REDACTED]; kat wahamaa [REDACTED]; Bruce Edwards [REDACTED]; sylvia langmann [REDACTED]; Dehlia Simper [REDACTED]; Heather Morlacci [REDACTED]  
<[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Opposition to Gravel processing

All FVRD Directors and Staff

I **strongly oppose** Staff's recommendations to allow gravel processing at quarries in the FVRD area. The current by-laws should not be changed but instead be enforced when contraventions to these by-laws occurs.

It is particular disturbing to me that Staff even think of putting forth such recommendations knowing very well that many communities have voiced otherwise over the years.

Our communities already deal with noise and dust pollution from surrounding quarries, noisy gravel trucks barreling down our roads and highways (many speeding), blasting to the point our homes literally shake and now Staff recommends that we also endure the constant noise of crushing. No! Absolutely not! Do we not also have the right to enjoy the peace and quiet of our homes???

I **urge** all FVRD Directors to vote against the Staff's recommendations and support Director Hugh Davidson's motion.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Pellizzari  
12978 Stave Lake Rd  
Area F

--

"Be the change you want to see in the world!"

**From:** isabelle foire <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** December 11, 2019 at 8:18:49 PM PST  
**To:** "[amolloy@fvr.ca](mailto:amolloy@fvr.ca)" <[amolloy@fvr.ca](mailto:amolloy@fvr.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Aggregate processing and Zoning Bylaws.

Dear Directors,

Many residents in the Fraser Valley regional district are against changing the existing bylaws to facilitate the aggregate companies to process on site at all pits.

We would like to bring a delegation to the board in the new year because a decision of this magnitude should not be made two weeks before Christmas when so many of us are too busy at this time of year to prepare for a delegation.

We understand an attempt to balance the needs of the aggregate industry with the needs of the community and the environment is to ease tension between parties concerned is compelling, however our residents concerns need to be heard.

Processing at every pit intrudes on residential areas and presently we are already annoyed with the interference and quality of life from undesirable noise, dust and visual impacts, traffic congestion and road deterioration . Processing at all pits will heighten this level of anxiety.

Consider that strategic locations for processing already exists and not all pits are desirable in residential areas to have processing and for the FVRD Directors to ignore these facts by giving the go-ahead to change the by-laws is to presume you have the authority to do so is against your mandate which is to consider the health of the residents, wildlife and fisheries.

Presently a fixed primary crushing station exists and we the residents want the status-quo without changing the by-laws. The argument is it is more expensive for aggregate companies to process off site is an argument that does not warrant a change of policy as we the public will inevitably be paying for the cost in the end product. More important is our quality of life.

We are asking that you stop interfering with our by-laws and refuse to consider aiding the aggregate companies requests for changes. If however you wish to continue with the proposal by the aggregate industry you will withhold your decision until the new year when many residents from all areas will come with delegations for you to resist changing our by-laws.

Sincerely,  
Larry Watchel,  
Lake Errock